

<b>Guide for Review of Coastal Zone Management</b>			
<b>Name of Program Participant:</b>			
<b>Staff Consulted:</b>			
<b>Name of Grant Program(s):</b>			
<b>Name of Activity/Project Reviewed:</b>		<b>Location:</b>	
<b>Name(s) of Reviewer(s):</b>		<b>Date:</b>	

**NOTE:** All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the participant's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a "**finding**."

**Instructions:** This Exhibit is designed to evaluate the Responsible Entity's (RE's) compliance with coastal zone management requirements for projects selected for monitoring. One Exhibit is to be completed for each project or activity monitored.

**Questions:**

1.

Is the project site located within the coastal zone, as defined in an approved State Coastal Zone Management (CZM) Plan? (If the answer is "No," stop here.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Describe Basis for Conclusion:</b>		

2.

Has the RE secured a written statement from the State CZM agency indicating that the proposed activity is consistent with the approved State CZM Plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	No
<b>Describe Basis for Conclusion:</b>		

3.

a. If the State CZM agency indicated that the proposed activity was inconsistent with its Plan, did the RE negotiate with the State CZM agency?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	No
<b>Describe Basis for Conclusion:</b>		

b. If the answer to “a” above is “Yes,” is there documentation showing that the RE included a statement of resolution and/or approval of the formerly inconsistent activity in its file? (If yes, stop here.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	No	N/A
<b>Describe Basis for Conclusion:</b>			

4.

If there is an unresolved inconsistency, was the selected project dropped, and/or acceptable project substituted? (If “Yes,” stop here.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
<b>Describe Basis for Conclusion:</b>          	

5.

If the activity was found to be inconsistent and the RE was not able to resolve the inconsistency with the State CZM agency, is there documentation that the RE has determined not to fund or approve the proposed project? [15 CFR 930.97]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
<b>Describe Basis for Conclusion:</b>          	